

**FINANCE & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
COUNTY BOARD ROOM
TUESDAY, APRIL 7, 2020
MINUTES
6:00 PM**

1. Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:02 PM. Due to Covid 19, the Finance Committee meeting was held by teleconference.

2. Roll Call – Chuck Nagel (chairman), Richard Hill, Emily Barker, Bryant Kempf, Josh Davis (v-ch) all present.

3. Approval of Minutes

a. Approval of March 10, 2020 Regular Meeting

Motion to approve March minutes made by Hill, seconded by Barker. A roll call vote was taken due to meeting being conducted via the phone – Nagel-yes; Hill-yes; Barker-yes; Kempf-yes; Davis-yes. *Motion passed.*

4. Public Input

None

5. Approval of Claims

Motion to approve April claims made by Davis, seconded by Barker. Roll call vote – Davis-yes; Kempf-yes; Barker-yes; Hill-yes; Nagel-yes. *Motion passed*

6. Treasurers Report

The Treasurers report was presented to the committee. There were no concerns with the report.

7. Budget

In May the IT budget for equipment needs to be discussed. There needs to be clarification on what this is for.

8. New Business

a. Grant Program Contractor Selection

We received several bids for the sidewalk projects, and only one bid for the building projects. The bids were all sealed and opened at the meeting. A discussion was held after all the bids were opened. The bids were as follows:

Sidewalks- Wendler - \$130,000. Dewberry – Design \$133,500, Construction - \$52,000 for a total of \$185,500. Midwest – Design - \$66,770, Construction - \$13,005 for a total of \$79,775. Hermann – Design - \$87,500, Construction \$30,000 for a total of \$117,000. Farnsworth – Design - \$150,000, Construction \$90,000 for a total of \$240,000.

Buildings – Farnsworth – Design - \$119,000, Construction \$38,330 for a total of \$158,250.

Discussion on the building bid. We have a choice to accept the one bid and let DECO determine if we need to rebid, or reject the bid and rebid it. Everyone had the opportunity to bid, but chose not to. The building projects are not that extensive and it was felt that the bid quote was rather high for the scope of work being done. The bid is almost double what was anticipated. Motion to reject Farnsworth bid for the

